Honeywell Docket No. H0004870.70759 US -4015 Buchalter Docket No.: H9945-1305

REMARKS

CLAIM AMENDMENTS

The amendments to claims 1 and 20 are taken verbatim from page 7 of the specification and from Figure 1; and therefore, no new matter has been added by virtue of the amended claims herein.

Buchalter Docket No.: H9945-1305

35 USC §102

Claims 1-4, 7, 11-23, 26 and 30-38 are rejected under 35 USC §102(b) as being

anticipated by Subramani (US 6254746). The Applicant disagrees, especially in view of

the amendments presented herein.

Amended claim 1 recites:

"A coil assembly, comprising:

at least one coil having a thickness;

at least one boss coupled to the at least one coil, wherein the at least one boss

comprises at least two support sections, has a diameter and wherein the diameter

of the at least one boss is greater than the thickness of the at least one coil, and

further wherein a first support section has a first diameter and the first

support section is not directly coupled to the coil, and a second support section that

is coupled to the first support section and the coil, wherein the second

support section has a diameter that is larger than the first diameter." (emphasis

added)

Amended claim 20 recites:

"A method of producing a coil assembly, comprises:

providing a coil having a thickness;

providing at least one boss having at least two support sections and a diameter; and

coupling the at least one boss to the coil, wherein the diameter of the at least one

boss is greater than the thickness of the at least one coil, and wherein a first

7

Buchalter Docket No.: H9945-1305

support section has a first diameter and the first support section is not directly coupled to the coil, and a second support section that is coupled to the first support section and the coil, wherein the second support section has a diameter that is larger than the first diameter."

Subramani discloses a recessed coil for creating a plasma, but does not teach the coil assembly of amended claims 1 and 20. "Anticipation requires the disclosure in a single prior art reference of each element of the claim under consideration." W. L. Gore & Assocs. v. Garlock, Inc., 721 F.2d 1540, 220 USPQ 303, 313 (Fed. Cir. 1983) (citing Soundscriber Corp. v. United States, 360 F.2d 954, 148 USPQ 298, 301 (Ct. Cl.), adopted, 149 USPQ 640 (Ct. Cl. 1966)) Further, the prior art reference must disclose each element of the claimed invention "arranged as in the claim". Maschinenfabrik GmbH v. American Hoist & Derrick Co., 730 F.2d 1452, 221 USPQ 481, 485 (Fed. Cir. 1984)(citing Connell v. Sears, Roebuck & Co., 722 F.2d 1542, 220 USPQ 193 (Fed. Cir. 1983)). Subramani does not teach at least one boss coupled to the at least one coil, wherein the at least one boss comprises at least two support sections, has a diameter and wherein the diameter of the at least one boss is greater than the thickness of the at least one coil, and further wherein a first support section has a first diameter and the first support section is not directly coupled to the coil, and a second support section that is coupled to the first support section and the coil, wherein the second support section has a diameter that is larger than the first diameter. Based on this argument Subramani does not anticipate claim 1 or claim 20 of the present application because Subramani is lacking and/or missing at least one specific feature or structural recitation found in the present application, and in claim 1 and claim 20. Claim 1 and claim 20 are therefore allowable as not being anticipated by Subramani. Further, Subramani does not anticipate claims 2-4, 7, 11-19, 21-23, 26 and 30-38 of the present application by virtue of their dependency on claim 1 and claim 20, respectively.

Buchalter Docket No.: H9945-1305

35 USC §103

Claims 5-6 and 24-25 are rejected under 35 USC §103(a) as being unpatentable

over Subramani.

Claims 8-10 and 27-29 are rejected under 35 USC §103(a) as being unpatentable

over Subramani in view of Hong (US Publication 2001/0007302).

The Applicant disagrees, especially in view of the amendments presented herein.

Amended claim 1 recites:

"A coil assembly, comprising:

at least one coil having a thickness;

at least one boss coupled to the at least one coil, wherein the at least one boss

comprises at least two support sections, has a diameter and wherein the diameter

of the at least one boss is greater than the thickness of the at least one coil, and

further wherein a first support section has a first diameter and the first

support section is not directly coupled to the coil, and a second support section that

is coupled to the first support section and the coil, wherein the second

support section has a diameter that is larger than the first diameter." (emphasis

added)

Amended claim 20 recites:

"A method of producing a coil assembly, comprises:

providing a coil having a thickness;

9

Buchalter Docket No.: H9945-1305

providing at least one boss having at least two support sections and a diameter; and coupling the at least one boss to the coil, wherein the diameter of the at least one boss is greater than the thickness of the at least one coil, and wherein a first support section has a first diameter and the first support section is not directly coupled to the coil, and a second support section that is coupled to the first support section and the coil, wherein the second support section has a diameter that is larger than the first diameter."

Subramani discloses a recessed coil for creating a plasma, but does not teach, suggest or motivate one of ordinary skill in the art to arrive at the claims in the present application. The Hong reference does not cure the deficiency of the Subramani reference with respect to the amended claims herein. Therefore, claims 1 and 20 are allowable as patentable over Subramani – alone or in combination with Hong. In addition, claims 5-6, 8-10, 24-25 and 27-29 are allowable as patentable over Subramani – alone or in combination with Hong.

Buchalter Docket No.: H9945-1305

REQUEST FOR ALLOWANCE

Claims 1-38 are pending in this application, and the Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner reconsider the claims in light of the arguments presented and allow all pending claims.

Respectfully submitted,

Buchalter Nemer, A Professional Corp.

Dated: 5/11/2009

By:

Sandra P. Thompson, PhD, Esq.

Reg. No. 46,264

E-mail: sthompson@buchalter.com

Direct Line: 949-224-6282

ATTORNEYS FOR APPLICANT(S):

Buchalter Nemer, A Professional Corporation 18400 Von Karman Ave., Suite 800 Irvine, CA 92612

Fax: 949-224-6203